**Minimally Invasive Monitoring of Grassland Carbon Inputs in a Long Term Nitrogen Addition** Experiment

> Dori Chandler University of California-Irvine Department of Earth System Sciences Drs. Susan Trumbore and David Lebauer

## Background

- Nitrogen addition usually increases plant production in terrestrial grasslands by 50% (LeBauer and Treseder, 2008)
- Past experiments on the affect of N on plant growth focus on short-term addition of N at high deposition rates
- Harvest-based plant biomass measurements are destructive and not suitable for long term studies

## **Objectives**

(1) Measure aboveground plant
biomass
(2)Compare observed biomass
(greenness/weight) with minimally
invasive proxies of plant growth

## **Experimental Design**

- University of California Sedgwick Natural Reserve
- 50 km NNW of Santa Barbara, CA
- N addition at 0, 1, 4, or 10 g N / m<sup>2</sup> y began in September 1999
- Six 2m x 4m plots at each N level at each site



#### Two Sites:



#### Method 1: Harvest

-Aboveground plant material was harvested from each plot on June 8th, 2008.
-Live and dead aboveground biomass sorted
Samples dried at 60° C for 48 hours.
Mass measured of live and dead plant material.



#### Methods 2a & b:

#### Noninvasive Methods of Estimating Biomass

2a: Visual estimation

Estimated percent of plot covered by green

2b: Camera estimation

- Took images of plots with an Olympus S4 digital camera
- cropped images to 0.5m by 1m
- Used Image J RGB split tool to determine reflectance detected by each of the three sensors on the camera
- Calculated the relative intensity of green:
- % green = avg green / (avg. red + avg. blue + avg green)

### Cropped images to 0.5 m x 1 m



# Biomass estimation with a digital camera

Winter

Summer



## RGB Split tool in Image J



**BLUE** 



Green

## Results

- 1. Correlation between observed greenness and aboveground biomass was strong
- 2. The correlation between the CCD greenness and biomass was significant, but negative
- 3. The correlation between observed greenness (eye) and CCD greenness was negative
- 4. N had an effect on total biomass
- 5. N had an effect on observed greenness
- 6. Effect of N on the CCD measurement of greenness did not follow these patterns

#### Observed Greenness vs. Aboveground Biomass



#### CCD Percent Greenness verses Aboveground Biomass



#### Observed Greenness vs. CCD Measured Green



#### Live Biomass vs. N Addition Rates



#### Observed Greenness vs. N Addition Rates



#### Percent CCD Greenness vs. N Addition Rates



Hill

Valley

## CCD Greenness depends on area of image analyzed (*P*=0.002)



## Discussion

• N had an effect on live biomass:

- N had an effect on Observed Greenness
- Observed greenness has a strong correlation with biomass, but not strong enough to replace measurements.
- CCD greenness did not correlate positively with biomass.

 Including percent cover by each species in multiple regression would likely improve non-destructive biomass estimates based on visually estimated greenness

## Conclusions

 As N addition rates increase from zero to ten gNm-2/y over 2008 the live biomass decreases on the hill and the valley.

•As N addition rates increase from zero to ten gNM-2/y the observed greenness decreases.

•Percent CCD greenness vs. N addition rates didn't have the same relationship as observed greenness and live biomass (they increased).

## Conclusions

•Using different parts of the images when cropping them in gimp to calculate greenness significantly changed the channel percent

•Therefore it is important to keep the area cropped consistent between images

•Additionally, I looked at root intersections and converted scanned images of roots into image binaries in gimp (black and white). The next step would be to discern root length and compare this with the above ground biomass

### **Experimental Error**

 Cropping the images to conduct RBG could have affected the analysis results because at different sections in the images there were varying amounts of light and RBG colors.

## Acknowledgments

- Thank you to David LeBauer for his dedication in sharing his knowledge on the subject openly and generously.
- Thank you to Susan Trumbore for her guidance as an advisor.
- Thanks to the Kearney Foundation for their financial support
- To my parents and friends who gave me both a beautiful lab space to work in and the emotional support to complete this research project